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On behalf of the Association for Pathology Informatics (API) and its Governing Council, welcome to Pathology Informatics Summit (PI Summit) 2023! We are now three years into a global pandemic that gave everyone a crash course on using laboratory tests to make critical decisions for school, work, travel, and everything in-between. In the meantime, the sheer amount of lab and pathology data, as well as audiences interested in it, has exponentially increased. The 21st Century Cures Act accelerated sharing of electronic health information in and outside managed networks, in addition to patients directly, while healthcare has been further digitized and distributed across virtual platforms and non-traditional consumer spaces.

What does this mean for pathology informatics? Imagine a data stream from each laboratory carrying gold nuggets and trash, feeding a river which goes into a lake, and ultimately, the ocean. These fuel personalized medical decisions and population health management, and the job of curating and tagging elements in these streams falls largely to the pathology informatician. However, those of us working in this space know that even routine tasks, such as the naming of test orders and results and flagging of “abnormal” findings, are not standardized between any two laboratories. So even though multiple streams now end up in the same data lake, they remain for the most part, like oil and water, immiscible. (All this for the electronically discrete, structured data - we still have faxes!)

Our 2023 PI Summit features an array of expert speakers, including Drs. Ila Singh and Alexis Carter who are leading key standardization efforts in our field. Dr. Singh is the founder of TRU2Lab, a national U.S. initiative supported by the CDC to promote test names that are more easily understood so tests can be ordered more appropriately. Dr. Carter, author of numerous guidelines with the Association of Molecular Pathology, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), College of American Pathologists, among others, will be discussing the ground-breaking CLSI AUTO14 barcode standard that may eliminate the need to relabel samples sent between laboratories. In the arena of digital imaging, Dr. Darren Tranor, founder and director of the National Pathology Imaging Co-operative funded by the NHS, will be sharing details of his group’s efforts to deploy digital pathology broadly across all of the U.K. Dr. Gary Procop, current CEO of the American Board of Pathology, will provide a plenary session overview of the history and growth of pathology informatics as a subspecialty, and share his thoughts on current trends with active diplomats.

Please join us for these impactful sessions, along with many others, as we wrestle with old and new challenges in providing patient-centered and patient-facing care together. Meeting many of you at last year’s PI Summit felt like a warm family reunion, and we are so glad we are able to hold this gathering space in Pittsburgh for our community to meet again.

Thank you for the opportunity to serve you, and I look forward to connecting with you throughout the meeting!

Dr. Ji Yeon Kim
Physician Director, Esoteric Chem & Immunology, Special Coagulation, Lab Informatics
Kaiser Permanente Southern California Regional Reference Laboratories
Dr. George Birdsong has led the transformative work in the past decade of moving cancer pathology reports from narrative records written individually, to structured synoptic reports that can be shared and aggregated for cancer registries, clinical trials, epidemiologic research, among other uses, within the U.S. and globally. Dr. Birdsong also played a pivotal role in bringing DICOM standards to pathology for whole slide imaging. He is the former chair of the Pathology Electronic Reporting (PER) Committee and served as the CAP liaison to the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR). He has been involved also with SNOMED International, the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Advisory Committee (CLIA) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and has worked in numerous advisory groups and committees over the years. Dr. Birdsong was also a recipient of the CAP 2018 Lifetime Achievement Award. We are honored to recognize him with the 2023 Lifetime Achievement Award on behalf of the Association for Pathology Informatics, for his invaluable contributions to the field of pathology informatics.

Dr. Mark Tuthill is currently Division Head of Pathology Informatics at Henry Ford Health System in Detroit and is a founding member of the Association for Pathology Informatics. He served as API President in 2005 and also served as Program Committee Chair for a number of years while managing API-related programming at other national pathology meetings such as the ASCP and USCAP. He has also been the Co-Conference Director/Co-Chair of the Pathology Informatics Summit Planning Committee since 2014. In addition, he has long served on the JPI Editorial Board and as a JPI reviewer. His contributions to the association, the meeting, and the journal are numerous and unparalleled. We are grateful for his ongoing involvement and participation in supporting the organization, and are pleased to recognize him with the Distinguished Service Award.
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Tuesday Exhibit Hall Hours
10:00 am - 7:30 pm
- Browse Exhibits/Posters 10:20 am - 11:20 am
- Lunch in Ballroom 12:00 pm - 1:00 pm
- Coffee/Tea Break, Browse Exhibits/Posters 2:15 pm - 2:40 pm
- Beer/Wine/Cocktail and Food Tasting with Vendor Trivia Game 5:30 pm - 7:30 pm

All vendors will be hosting beverage and food tasting stations and fielding attendee questions and supporting the Vendor Trivia Game.

Wednesday Exhibit Hall Hours
8:00 am - 3:30 pm
- Browse Exhibits/Posters 10:20 am - 11:20 am
- Lunch in Ballroom 12:00 pm - 1:00 pm
- Coffee/Tea Break, Browse Exhibits/Posters 3:05 - 3:30 pm
- President’s Reception 5:30 pm to 7:00 pm Gallery/Atrium

The exhibit hall will be closed, but vendors may be in attendance to field questions and socialize.

Full Dinner Buffet
Enabling healthier communities

As the world’s leading laboratory information systems provider, Clinisys helps to make communities healthier and safer.

Clinisys enables over 3,000 labs in 34 countries to collect, analyze and report the data that informs billions of tests every year.

We help you improve patient outcomes and quality of life for citizens and communities.

clinisys.com
Harness the power of digital. Roche is proud to present navify® Digital Solutions.

Roche Diagnostics unifies its digital health portfolio under the navify brand, providing a range of next generation software solutions. A portfolio of solutions built to enable digital transformation.

Stop by the Roche booth to learn more about the expanding portfolio of solutions for the pathology laboratory. #API2023

Diamond Exhibitor Speakers

Attendees are eligible to enter a raffle for a MacBook Air or Microsoft Surface.

TUESDAY, MAY 23

4:00 pm
Dr. Anil Parwani, The Ohio State University
Hamamatsu Earns FDA Clearance for Primary Diagnoses: Unleashing the Power of Diagnostics - Key Insights from the Study

4:30 pm
Chad Meyers, Vice President & Segment Line Manager
Digital Innovations for Better Patient Care: Improving Care Quality, Driving Efficiencies and Reducing Costs Across the Continuum

What’s Next for Industry Standards?: An LIS Vendor’s View on Areas to Progress Patient Care, Interoperability, Analytics and Machine Learning in Anatomic Pathology

Clinisys

5:00 pm
Speakers, TBD
Digital Innovations for Better Patient Care: Improving Care Quality, Driving Efficiencies and Reducing Costs Across the Continuum
**PRESIDENT’S RECEPTION SPONSOR PRESENTERS**  Ballroom A, 4:10 pm - 4:40 pm
Enjoy these presentations and be on the lookout for 3 separate codes for a chance to win 1 of 2 Echo Shows!

- **AIFORIA**
  - **Dr. Alireza Samiei**
    - Computational Pathologist
    - Wednesday, May 24, 2023
    - 4:10 pm, Ballroom A
    - "Aiforia Cloud: From Digital Pathology toAutomated and Quantitative Image Analysis"
  - **Prasanth Perugupalli**
    - Wednesday, May 24, 2023
    - 4:20 pm, Ballroom A
    - "Technology Advancements that Aid in ComputationalPathology"
  - **Michael Valante**
    - Global Business Development Lead & Chief Technology Officer
    - Wednesday, May 24, 2023
    - 4:30 pm, Ballroom A
    - "Global Business Lead: HealthcareUDS & CTO-Digital Pathology"

**WOMEN’S NETWORKING EVENT SPONSORS**
Pennsylvania West, Westin, 7:30 pm - 9:00 pm
Dr. M.E. (Doc) de Baca (2018 API President) and Karen Mudd
Pride Foundation and the Association for Pathology Informatics

---

**API 2023 CALENDAR OF EVENTS**

**JUNE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **R Language Virtual Workshop** | Thursday, June 1 | Drs. Amrom Obstfeld, Joseph Rudolf, Patrick Mathias
| | | Registration Open – Unlimited
| **Mentoring Monday** | Monday, June 12 | Dr. J. Mark Tuthill (Henry Ford)
| | | Free Registration

**JULY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Fireside Chat: Case Study** | Monday, July 10 | Dr. David McClintock (Mayo Clinic)
| | | Free Registration
| **Mentoring Monday** | Monday, September 11 | Dr. Jennifer Woo (City of Hope)
| | | Free Registration

**SEPTEMBER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **DPAI: Tours and Sessions** | Thursday - Saturday, September 28 - 30 | Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN
| | | Registration Not Yet Open – Limited
| **Fireside Chat: Navigating Projects and Publications** | Monday, October 9 | JPI Associate Editors Drs. Matthew Hanna, Hooman Rashidi, Joseph Rudolf
| | | Free Registration
| **Mentoring Monday** | Monday, November 13 | Dr. S. Wesley Long (Houston Methodist)
| | | Free Registration

---

**Scan Here to Visit Our Website!**
The milestone of 40 years for the PI Summit stirs memories of its headwaters and very beginnings. Bruce Friedman's stalwart meeting in Ann Arbor...with a side trip or two to Miami and Las Vegas...like the Allegheny here in Pittsburgh, joined at the Monongahela with Mike Becich's visionary APIII in Pittsburgh...again with a side trip to Vancouver and Lake Tahoe...to form the mighty PI Summit (aka Ohio River) we’re all at this May.

The summit is older than the API itself. But who could ever forget the great evenings of excited talk, computer games on the highest speed internet ever seen by many of us, electronic poster sessions, and lectures that have outlined the future of pathology informatics and even the specialty itself? And Bruce (gently) grilling the LIS executives? I’ll never forget unending laughter; the candor, stimulation, and enduring friendships that also sprang from these events. How could anyone, I think to myself, not want to be a part of all this?

Certainly we know that many, many dozens of young pathologists have passed through, many now the leaders of the field... many have earned Informatics certification and come to chair and lead departments and other pathology organizations.

I cannot forget Mike introducing us all to the future of digital pathology, the critical life of lab data, the endless horizon of research, and many more concepts that today form the lingua franca of pathology itself.

And genuine partnerships with vendors were hatched here, in a beginning that was rare... and still too rare...in the broader field. The dialogue has for over 40 years entertained and informed. PI Summit remains the best launching pad and space for trial balloons, and free inquiry.

It’s been a joy to witness and share, and here in this brief item to express my gratitude to all involved.
There were many people involved who had different approaches to solve the problem of scanning glass. What’s next? Remove the glass slide and image the tissue directly? It’s happening!

From today’s perspective it is hard to understand the implications of 32 MB hard drives, limitations of 8 MB of RAM, and 9600 baud modems. Much telepathology was conducted on “high resolution” monitors of 640 by 480 pixels. Oh, and did I mention? Price tags were $5,000. A typical telepathology system could cost up to $15,000 having less power than an iPad.

How fast it all changed. The AIMCL meeting in Ann Arbor run by Dr. Friedman and the APIII meeting in Pittsburgh run by Dr. Becich were technologic showcases and marvels of engineering, ushering in a new age. Including the day when an awfully expensive piece of fiber optic cable was installed in the Pittsburgh Marriott by a Telcom company specifically for the meeting. You’ll have to ask Dr. Becich the details of how he finagled that. In those days, we ran a large array networked desktop computer that were used for the then new “electronic posters.” The workstations were all networked using that fiber as a central core.

Night came. The audience left for the day, but the residents and fellows knew what to do! Game! A riotous game of networked “Doom” at high speed ensued. Runners would go out to procure additional libations and food, return, and distribute to the players. Whether you were a gamer or not, it was fun, and it was a mind-blowing example of where we were heading. This happened more than one year. I always wondered what Dr. Becich knew. Ali was well until one year the cable was crushed when run over by a hotel cart stacked high with chairs for a conference. OOPS! Things were never the same in the game room.

Much of this occurred before there was an Association for Pathology Informatics! Does anyone remember the fledgling attempts to create the “International Society of Pathology Informatics”? Fast forward forty years and it’s a different kind of game. High speed networks, high powered workstations, and high-resolution monitors are inexpensive commodities. WSI and AI are changing the conversation not only in pathology, but in medicine and the future of patient care. At one point, I told a mentor that I was planning to practice informatics full time and make it the focus of my career. He was deeply concerned that I would be unemployed and advised against it. I was scared. There was no charted road or even a path, but the future seemed to clearly point to the way of informatics. I always worried about ignoring your advice Dr. Weinstein, but in the end, I don’t regret that I did. It has made all the difference.
My 40-year journey in the use of computational platforms and the application of such technologies toward pathology informatics-related topics is indeed a rich one, filled with curious twists and turns. What this journey has impressed upon me is a recurring theme of how incremental progress in computational capability or data storage equates to quantum jumps in capabilities or possibilities for being able to carry out increasingly meaningful and difficult tasks, with each passing iteration of the available hardware for a given era. As such, I find it illustrative to assemble a select sequence of vignettes that highlights the “art of the possible” at various epochs in my career, based on the available hardware of the time. What is most compelling for me when looking at the progression over four decades, is that the jumps are not uniform—they are exponential, both in numerical scale as well as in functional implications of such scale for realizing real-world solutions for increasingly complex computational tasks.

1978
I am working on a Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-8 mini-computer, with 32K of memory (considered as being a medium-to-large minicomputer for that time), writing a program to integrate HPLC peaks coming off a C-18 column being used to measure vitamin D levels. The dedicated instrument, a Vidar Integrator, does not have sufficient time resolution, and as such, the PDP-8 with its greater speed and memory capabilities, and tape storage (256K per tape), is deemed a better platform. The resultant program, written in Fortran 77, takes 35 minutes to individually integrate the multiple peaks intrinsic to every column run, with this analysis being a factor of ten better in both speed and accuracy than the Vidar platform. Typical data set sizes are 5 kilobytes per run.

1981
I gain access to the IBM S/35 personal computer, which has been upgraded with a 20 Mb hard disk (Redline) and 640K of memory. It’s computational speed far exceeds what is possible with the former DEC platform, allowing me to start experimenting with single static images. High-resolution images are at this time, 640 x 480 pixels (which is, of course, laughable by today’s standards). Application of a single image-sharpening operation takes 15 minutes.

1984
Working on C. Alen Johnson’s research team at Duke, still as an undergraduate, I gain access to a much larger computational resource, an IBM VAX 11/780 super-mini computer with 64 megabytes of memory, attached to an Advantech frame buffer (a device capable of rendering a 1024 x 1024 grayscale image on a high performance CRT monitor. This allows for histogram equalization of digitized chest x-rays in less than five minutes, thereby improving contrast of features in the mediastinum. This experience cements for me the long-term interest of focusing my career on medical imaging.

1985
During my pathology residency, I am able to utilize Pentium-class workstations to shuttle real-time 1Kx1K digital imagery of histology between the University of Utah Health Sciences Campus and the Adjacent ARUP reference lab. These machines benefited from 8 GB paged memory and 10 GB hard drives with the network connection being 10 megabits.

1990
Working on Hewlett-Packard PA-Apollo workstation in Bruce Lindsey’s Lab, I am able to carry out neural network simulations of respiratory rhythmogenesis, in tandem with the development of a visual editor to assist in the refinement of such models. This is a monstrously large and powerful workstation for that era, with 2 GB of memory and 40 Gigabytes of available disk storage. These efforts lead to a publication that demonstrates the utility of pairing computational models with experimentally generated data, surmounting the existence of a previously undetected neuron class in the overall phrenic nerve neural network of the cat’s brainstem median raphe nucleus.

1992
After several years of preparation, I am successful in demonstrating digital image transfer of microscopic images (at 640x480 / 24 bit color resolution) between the James A. Haley VA and the Bay Pines VA hospital, as a “blazing” 0.25 frames per second. The 80386-based workstations have 4 GB of paged memory and a 2 GB hard drive, which is quite large for that time for personal computer class workstations. The demonstration is a success in showing that diagnostic quality digital histology images could be transported by low-cost telecommunications methods (via 19.2 Kbaud modems over a standard telephone line).

2000
At the completion of my post-doc in tissue engineering at Harvard’s Center for Engineering in Medicine, I am able to utilize the contemporary Pentium workstations of that era to fabricate a bioresorbing monitoring and control system with processes of the order of megabytes of telemetry data per second (oxygen tension, flow rates, temperature, differential pressure, calculated oxygen consumption rate, etc.). This is a watershed event for me, as it becomes evident that computers are now fast enough to carry out both data acquisition/transport and analysis at the same time.
2006

Just prior to professionally relocating from Mass General’s Pathology Department to the University of Michigan, I have the opportunity to equip MGH’s storied Putschar Conference Room with real-time HD-video microscopy projection, operating at 1920 x 1080 progressive scan resolution at 30 frames per second. At this time, this was an extremely expensive technology, which necessarily made use of commercial broadcast units to realize this level of resolution and frame rate. The supporting Pentium-based workstations were of equal high-end caliber, with over 8GB of system memory and a 100GB network connection.

2010

Working with Jerome Cheng and later, Jason Hupp, my informatics follows at that time, we develop and introduce Spatially Invariant Vector Quantization (SIVQ), which allows for pixel-level segmentation of whole-slide images. The machines that enable this computation have at least 16 GB of memory and several hundred GB hard disks, in order to accommodate the libraries of whole slide images needed to train such algorithms.

2023

Now, a full 40+ years later from the time that I first embarked on the use of computational tools to acquire and analyze biological data, I have routine access to Petascale computation and storage, with this allowing for deployment of enhanced versions of image analysis tools such as the SIVQ-derived VIPR application, where whole slide images can be segmented in real-time.

Over this time, the scale of computational tools available has increased by at least six orders of magnitude, representing an unimaginable increase in computing potential, as taken from the vantage point of someone who might have explored this space back in 1976. It only reasons that the specialty of pathology informatics should expect similar quantum jumps over the next 40 years. If anything, the incremental gains in capabilities over the following decades will be even more impactful, given the rapidly expanding capabilities of AI, including convolutional neural networks, large language models and reinforcement learning. The real challenge in the years ahead will be in the collective specialties of pathology succeeding in training its next generation of practitioners to be able to effectively make use of all that informatics has to offer.
A Personal History of Pathology Informatics &
the Lab Infotech Summit
by Bruce A. Friedman, MD / April 24, 2023

2002, 2003 API President • Co-Founder of PI Summit with Dr. Michael Becich

The current, annual Pathology Informatics Summit is managed by the Association for Pathology Informatics (API). However, it traces its roots back to the University of Michigan Medical School (U of M) in May, 1983. I had been invited to join the pathology faculty a decade earlier in 1973. I started my career, fresh out of the U.S. Army, as a blood banker and spent nine years in that field. In February/1982, I was asked by the Chairman, Dr. Peter Ward, whether I would like to be appointed as the director of the fledgling group that managed the departmental Laboratory Information System (LIS). He offered me the position as a temporary arrangement because he said that he “would subsequently search for someone who was technically qualified for the job.” This was a very relevant observation on his part because I had virtually no training in information technology. Apparently, no one who met his criteria subsequently came to his attention because I held the position for the next twenty-four years.

These were the early days of lab computing. The software or “turnkey” LIS was purchased by our lab and the hardware by the Digital Equipment Company. MediLab was based in Salt Lake City and had been founded by Dr. Homer Warner, a pioneer in medical informatics at the University of Utah. A turnkey LIS meant theoretically that one only needed to turn on the computer and it would meet the lab computing needs. This was far from the truth. The function of the LIS management team at UM in these early days, Pathology Data Informatics (PDI), was to babysit the computer and interact with the vendor to ensure system functionality and correct any of the bugs that appeared on a constant basis.

Worthy of note here is that the lab computing team,Pathology Informatics (PI), had no clinical responsibilities in contrast with their management of EHRs today that incorporate modules for pathology and radiology support. Instead, the mainframe computer supported patient management tasks such as admissions, discharges, bed transfers, and patient billing. The computer had a scheduled, roughly six-hour “down” every night for backing up the day’s work. It also seemed to be down most of the weekend hours.

LISs were the first example of clinical computing in hospitals. Patient test results were printed daily on fanfolded computer paper in the pathology machine room and delivered to the patient units, replacing the previous lab shingle sheets that were pasted by hand into the patients’ medical records. The next clinical systems to emerge in the hospital computing milieu after LISs were the radiology information systems (RISs). They were managed by radiology department personnel, similar to the case in pathology.

The “Old Main” hospital at UM was replaced in 1986 with a brand-new building. Deployed in patient care units were computers for monitoring vital signs and for physicians and nurses to query the LIS for laboratory test results prior to receiving the printed reports. Unbelievably and different from today’s technology, each of these devices were connected to the LIS by home-run cables. Miles of such cables probably remain dormant underneath the floors of University Hospital to this day.

A computer network was soon installed in the new hospital. Initially, there were occasional “data collisions” on it. I was a staunch supporter of computer networks but, in some quarters, they were considered unreliable. I was even involved in a discussion at a national pathology meeting with a pathologist- informatician about this new technology. At that time, he referred to them as “networks.” In today’s computing environment, we often underestimate the importance of our current, global, high-speed networks.

In these very early years in Pathology Informatics (PI), there were only two other pathologists in the country; Drs. Ray Aller and Don Connelly, who had deep experience in the management of LISs. I depended on both of them for help and guidance in learning the ropes of my new job. I cite this fact to emphasize how much one needed to scramble in those early days to find and learn from colleagues about the LISs that were totally responsible for reporting to clinicians the test results generated in the clinical labs and surgical pathology.

Because of my personal circumstances and the early state of the field, it occurred to me that launching an annual conference at UM would solve many problems. First, it would serve as a gathering place for the scattered and newly emerging informaticians to share their ideas and experiences. Equally important was the fact that there were then emerging a growing cadre of vendors, LIS manufacturers of various stripes, who I thought would jump at the opportunity to show their products and also make such a conference more financially viable. I decided to name the new conference AIMCL, standing for Automated Information Management in the Clinical Laboratory.

I feel privileged to have developed, in these early days, a warm relationship with many of the founders of these LIS firms including Dr. Sid Goldblatt, the CEO of Sunquest, now Cerner, and Neal Patterson, one of the founders of Cerner. Cerner, now one of the two major EHR vendors, was launched as an LIS. Neal was very ambitious as the later growth of Cerner will attest. He urged me to expand the scope of AIMCL to include nurses. He was obviously moving in the direction of much higher clinical functionality for the LIS successors. I pushed back, insisting that serving pathologists and their need for more training in information technology (IT) was a sufficient challenge for me. I confess that I experienced little anxiety in launching a new conference because I had previously been the director of an annual conference in blood banking for nine years. Moreover, the University of Michigan medical school had a unit devoted to the support of continuing medical education (CME) and, although it provided me with useful skills in promoting and managing such events and also access to a large auditorium with ample space for vendor exhibits. We printed both a maier announcing each conference and a hefty, bound conference syllabus. Medical conference marketing and support services were generally restricted to the Internet for efficiency and cost savings.

The term pathology informatics (PI), now broadly used to refer to our subspecialty, did not enter the literature until 1990 in an article I published in the American Journal of Clinical Pathology. The term was derived from the French word informatique which referred to data and computer processing. In those early days, I thought much less about PI as a subspecialty of the broader field of pathology and more as a tool that would be understood and utilized by all pathologists. Hardly shocking these days is the fact that PI has evolved as a robust subspecialty of pathology. This trend continues even more of late with digital pathology and artificial intelligence (AI) requiring even more specialized talent and management expertise. AI is rapidly becoming a critical tool in the automation of both laboratory and CD.

There is an adage that, when choosing a career, it’s best to select a discipline for which there is “no name.” Happily, this was the path that I chose or perhaps, more correctly, was assigned to me. I must confess that I did pursue this career with some understanding about how PI would eventually evolve as a robust discipline. I would thus provide the following advice to physicians just launching a career: If you begin to work in an “unnamed” discipline or subspecialty of an existing field, contribute as much as you can to building the infrastructure and thus prepare for those who will follow. Also, try to ignore the naysayers who often are mainly defending the status quo.

One executive of a pathology organization said the following to me: “A computer is a tool like a pencil. We don’t have any pencil conferences. Why would we have computer conferences?”

Remarkably, AIMCL continued annually and successfully for 21 years in Ann Arbor after its launch. Unfortunately, I began to experience some disagreements over the years with the CME personnel about the management and marketing of AIMCL. Some of my complaints were related to the fact that, in my opinion, the CME unit was unable to respond to the continuing growth and subsequent demands of the conference and the larger pathology informatics field. In 2004, I decided to move the conference from Ann Arbor but I was now faced with new challenges and questions such as how to manage it independently and where it would be held?

I decided to create a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization called Pathology Informatics Consortium whose sole mission was to manage the conference, now rechristened as the Pathology Informatics Summit. The creation of PEC was partly a thank you and a personal trust to many of the founders of these LIS firms, including Dr. Homer Warner, head of the pathology group at The Toledo Hospital, now ProMedica Toledo Hospital. He was very entrepreneurial whereas I had led, up to that time, a more “sheltered” academic life.

I then needed to make one more decision: What would be the name of this new conference? I decided to move it to the Venetian Hotel in Las Vegas. In retrospect, this was a major decision. Partly because of the appeal of Las Vegas to registrants and vendors, the meeting thrilled there for six years starting in 2004. On the negative side of the ledger, the costs of the meeting rose considerably which posed a threat to my “little nonprofit” with only scanty financial reserves. My wife, Hillary Murt, contributed greatly to the success of the meeting in Vegas. It was a large time and energy commitment to the very small cadre of people connected to my non-profit organization.

I subsequently decided in 2010 to terminate the Pathology Informatics Summit after six years in Las Vegas and the 21 previous years as AIMCL. However, I was undecided as to whether it would or should continue in some sort of reincarnation under different management. I would not have even considered shutting it down if APII, another pathology informatics conference, has not been launched by Mike Becich in 1996 and was thriving. APII stood for “Advancing Practice, Instruction, and Innovation through Informatics.” Mike and I had always worked closely together. He had discussed with me whether it was appropriate for him to launch another meeting alongside AIMCL, at its inception. I told...
him at the time that the more the merrier, particularly at the University of Pittsburgh which at that time and since, has had a robust national reputation in the field. I got a call from Mike in 2010 when he learned that I was about to terminate AIMCL, and he suggested that I consider merging it with APII. This immediately struck me as a wonderful idea so I joined the APII team. The name of the new, merged conference was changed to Pathology Information Summit, the same as the Las Vegas meeting. This name continues to this day.

In the early days after the merger, the APIII planning team included myself, Barb Karrbaur, Chuck Dizard and Dr. John Gilberston. Chuck was the Administrator of the Department of Biomedical Informatics with Mike as the chairman. Chuck was a major player in the management of the now merged conference after I joined the team and kept us on the straight and narrow from a budgetary perspective. Upon joining the merged conference planning group two years later and immediately became a major contributor to its success. She, of course, went on to become the Executive Director of the Association for Pathology Informatics and continues in this role to the present time.

At this point, I also want to recognize the critical role that Bob McGonnagle, publisher of CAP Today, played in the success of AIMCL and the merged meeting. Bob believed in the field of pathology informatics from the earliest days and was a constant source of support and encouragement. Moreover, he attended all of the meetings and very often served as a faculty member. This was in the face of tepid interest in PI in some of the pathology and lab medicine professional societies in the early years. To this day, his support for the conference continues unabated.

Important to know at this point is that the Association for Pathology Informatics (API) had been founded in 2000 by a small group of pathologists specializing in the field. It was a natural choice to eventually manage any preexisting conferences like the Pathology Informatics Summit. Mike Becich and I were selected as the founding presidents with Mike serving the first term. The University of Pittsburgh Medical School was selected as the founding president with Mike at the time that the more the merrier, particularly at the University of Pittsburgh which at that time and since, has had a robust national reputation in the field. I got a call from Mike in 2010 when he learned that I was about to terminate AIMCL, and he suggested that I consider merging it with APII. This immediately struck me as a wonderful idea so I joined the APII team. The name of the new, merged conference was changed to Pathology Information Summit, the same as the Las Vegas meeting. This name continues to this day.

As you all know, API has thrived since its inception, and leadership of the pathology informaticians now scattered from and also where we are headed with the able support and leadership of the pathology informaticians now scattered from and also where we are headed with the able support and leadership of the pathology informaticians now scattered from and also where we are headed with the able support and leadership.

So, what is there to now add about this tangled history of Pathology Informatics? The Journal of Pathology Informatics (JPI) is an open access peer-reviewed journal dedicated to the advancement of pathology informatics. This is the official journal of the Association for Pathology Informatics (API). This journal is of interest to pathologists, informaticians, academics, researchers, health IT specialists, information officers, IT staff, vendors, and anyone with an interest in informatics. We publish all types of papers related to pathology informatics including original research articles, technical notes, reviews, viewpoints, commentaries, editorials, symposia, meeting abstracts, book reviews, and correspondence to the editors. All submissions are subject to rigorous peer review by the well-regarded editorial board and by expert referees in appropriate specialties.

To support the growing field and expertise demands, JPI welcomes three associate editors specializing in Anatomic and Digital Pathology, Computational Research and Artificial Intelligence, and Clinical Informatics, Laboratory Management, and LIS to expertly guide manuscripts through the peer-review process.

Members receive a 30% discount on publication fees.

Grace Chae, Managing Editor, grace.chae@pathologyinformatics.org
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2001 API’s FIRST PRESIDENT • CO-FOUNDER OF THE PI SUMMIT WITH DR. BRUCE FRIEDMAN

Dr. Becich, you are well regarded as a pioneer in the field of pathology informatics and you were there at its emergence and continue to be a moving force in the discipline, with particularly interesting insights on education. How would you compare your training experience with what is available, today, with rising medical trainees? Is the grass greener on this side or do you prefer or miss the Wild West?

My training was as a health information technology (HIT) focused faculty member responsible for the Anatomic Pathology Laboratory Information System (APLIS). I was responsible for implementing a new APLIS at Washington University (WashU) and University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC). We implemented CoPath (at that time owned by Collaborative Medical Systems (COMED), subsequently Dynamic Healthcare Technologies, Ind and currently licensed by Sunquest and owned by Cermer) and my role which was significantly influenced by COMED’s Marianne Boswell, Partner and Vice President of Sales and Marketing. My HIT work in those early days was in partnership with William Gross, my LIS manager whom I initially hired from Digital Equipment Corporation in 1988 to join me as an Instructor at WashU. St. Louis, Bill was skilled in VMS (COMED CoPath’s operating system at the time) and joined me in Pittsburgh in 1993. At UPMC, Bill and I and our team were responsible for the replacement of the APLIS systems across the growing UPMC network of approximately 40 hospitals on one consolidated instance. We co-developed many modules with Marianne Boswell for CoPath including the histology ordering, synoptic special procedure reporting modules and the first integrated imaging system and molecular diagnostic reporting modules. This “hands on” problem solving through the APLIS was the only Informatics related training I received. I am an MD Pathologist (AP-only), and my PhD is in tumor cell biology/experimental pathology (from Northwestern University). Thus, regrettably I have no formal training in informatics, computer science or programming. The “Wild West” days of on-the-job training (OJT) in informatics in my opinion are over as Biomedical Informatics, Data Science and Computer Science training is now firmly established as fundamental to training the next generation of informatics faculty. Clinical Informatics board specialty training remains a viable alternative pathway for those focused on HIT jobs like Director of Pathology Information Systems, Chief Medical Information Officer (CMIO) or leading an HIT Division of Medical or Surgical subspecialties. I clearly prefer the current opportunities formal training in informatics provides. I strongly suspect visionary health care systems will replace OJT trained informaticians with formally trained informatics faculty in Biomedical Informatics, computer science and/or clinical informatics fellows who are subspecialty board certified.

Pathology informatics is a field in which the development of technologies emerges from both industry and hospital/academic institutions. How would you characterize these relationships or interactions? What does adoption of technology look like throughout the healthcare spectrum, meaning how do the experiences of early adopters differ from those groups that might just be starting to explore? What are the pros and cons at the different spectrum ends?

Both hospital/academic institutions and industry have shaped Pathology Informatics tools in the past, but we are at an inflection point in which the Laboratory Information System (LIS) industry is ripe for disruption. Many healthcare systems are moving towards unified and monolithic electronic health records (EHR) and fueling this is “open” record sharing initiatives like the All of US research program, Enhancing Accrual to Clinical Trials, the Patient Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) Clinical Research Network (PCORNet), the National COVID Cohort Collaborative (NSC) and Consortium for Clinical Characterization of COVID-19 by EHR (4CE). Unfortunately, the LIS has not kept pace or enabled these national and international data sharing initiatives and most notably has been the impact of COVID. Lack of laboratory information standardization, lack of agreed upon ontologies and ignoring of the impact of genomics (especially microbiomics and personalized medicine) in LIS impact in medicine. Finally the most damaging of the current trends is the ineffective “search” tools HIT wide in EHRs. This continues to handicap the “70/70” rule which was once robust and now flagging due to lack of innovation in our pathology information systems. The time for disruption is ripe and the “takeover” of LIS systems by EHR vendors is going to really hurt Pathology unless we get to work…and fast!

When medical and/or research institutions collaborate with private industry in the development or adaptation of new systems/technology, what things would you put on your checklist to assess how things are moving along? With so many resources, time and money invested, how does one know when the technology limits adoption or how to determine if one should settle and accept the limits or start anew?

As stated above in question #2, the LIS (and EHR) industry is ripe for disruption. The most important single way to influence this is to progressively recruit programming and technology aware trainees and leadership into Pathology Informatics (as well as Pathology in general). As an important hub for medicine information sharing the laboratory needs to evolve and Pathology Informatics, Molecular Diagnostics and Microbiomics must innovate and keep pace with the needs of the modern clinician and the “quantitative self” patients are evolving to today. As a Pathology Informatics community, we must pay attention to “patient-centeredness” and understand how important laboratory tests are to our real customers.

The “Wild West” days of on-the-job training (OJT) in informatics in my opinion are over as Biomedical Informatics, Data Science and Computer Science training is now firmly established as fundamental to training the next generation of informatics faculty.
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Evolving to keep pace with medical innovation and patient’s needs in an highly fragmented health care system is key to our future successes.

Where do you think we are headed over the next 10-20 years? What will the endgame at this time point look like to both patient and provider?

Pathology Informatics has a bright future only if we realize the need for true innovation. We must return to the central information and quality improvement foundation Pathology has in serving medicine which was long embraced as key to its success. Pathologists must move to the important role as ally and consultant to our medical and surgical colleagues. We must realize the need the health sciences have for our quality assurance, quality control and quality improvement expertise. Medical errors continue to plague healthcare delivery and we can serve the new patient safety focus of the federal government as leaders in medical care.

If you could create a tasting menu of missing technologies for pathology, what would be on that course menu? In other words, what would be on your wishlist of things that have yet to be done to get patient care closer to where you think it needs to be?

This is an interesting question that took a bit of thinking. The easiest and most immediate answer is the “fourth wave” of artificial intelligence and machine learning we are currently undergoing, especially causal discovery algorithms. However, on deeper reflection, I say the big three changes needed for pathology are all diagnostic and theranostic innovations, including: 1) true implementation of precision pathology (and medicine through the LIS), 2) implementation of modern microbiomics in the microbiology laboratory due to the rise of superbugs and pandemic threats and 3) realizing the promise of modern molecular pathology supported by our laboratory information systems. We are a long way away from making Pathology central to these three aspirational (yet obvious) goals for Pathology and Pathology Informatics. Will you be part of the future? If so, come to the Pathology Informatics Summit in 2023 and learn how to join our quest. It has been an exciting ride in our first 27 years since the 1996 APIII meeting, and I assure you the best is yet to come!

If you are interested in volunteering for the Association for Pathology Informatics or any of its associated branches, please contact:

Nova Smith, Executive Director
nova.smith@pathologyinformatics.org

Grace Chae, Executive Assistant
grace.chae@pathologyinformatics.org

We’d love to have you!

For other recordings with Mary Edgerton, visit:
www.audible.com/pd/Podcast/B08JJN84J3
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